

# Ototoxicity of industrial chemicals alone or in combination with noise\* \*

## Mercury, Alkyl compounds (as Hg)

A. Vyskocil<sup>1\*</sup>, T. Leroux<sup>3</sup>, G. Truchon<sup>2</sup>, F. Lemay<sup>1</sup>, F. Gagnon<sup>1</sup>, M. Gendron<sup>3</sup>, S. Botez<sup>1</sup>, N. El Majidi<sup>1</sup>, A. Boudjerida<sup>1</sup>, S. Lim<sup>1</sup>, C. Émond<sup>1</sup>, C. Viau<sup>1</sup>

### Introduction

There is increasing epidemiological evidence that exposure to some solvents, metals, asphyxiants and other substances is associated in humans with a risk of hearing loss. On the contrary, the interaction of chemicals and noise has received little attention. This project was undertaken to develop a database of toxicological data from the primary literature, allowing the identification of ototoxic substances and substances that interact with the noise present in the work environment. Critical toxicological data were compiled for chemical substances included in the Quebec regulation (Regulation Respecting Occupational Health and Safety).

### Methods

The data were evaluated only for realistic exposure concentrations up to:

- the short-term exposure limit value, or
- the ceiling value, or
- 5 times the 8-h time weighted average exposure limit value (TWAEV) for human data, or
- 100 times the 8-h TWAEV or the ceiling value for animal studies.

We took into consideration the number of studies and for each study the following parameters: studied species, number of subjects or animals, exposure route, characteristics of control groups, exposure levels, audiometric and statistical tests, dose/effect relationship and when available, mechanisms of action.

Using a systematic weight of evidence approach, the information from both human and animal studies was examined. At first, a weight of evidence qualifier was given for both the ototoxicity and the interaction with noise : "strong", "medium", "weak", "absent" or "no study found". Note that weight of evidence qualifier "absent" should not be regarded as evidence that a substance is not ototoxic or that it does not interact with noise.

We built a weight of evidence table (see Table 1) that allowed us to combine the information from both human and animal studies on ototoxicity of chemicals and their interaction with noise. Human data were given more weight in the overall assessment. For example, a "strong" evidence from animal studies combined with an "absence" of evidence from the available human studies yielded a "medium" evidence overall.

Regarding the final conclusion about the ototoxic potential of substances or their interaction with noise, a substance bearing an overall qualifier of "strong evidence" of ototoxicity or interaction with noise was considered as an "ototoxic substance" or as a substance for which there is an "evidence of interaction" with noise. Those with "medium evidence" overall were rated "possibly ototoxic" or "possible interaction". We considered the ototoxic potential of those with only "weak evidence" as "non conclusive". Finally, those for which there was absence of evidence bore the mention "no evidence" of ototoxicity or interaction with noise.

\* Corresponding author : [adolf.vyskocil@umontreal.ca](mailto:adolf.vyskocil@umontreal.ca)

\*\* Production of this document was supported by the IRSST (Grants 99-542 and 99-745)

<sup>1</sup> Institut de recherche en santé publique de l'Université de Montréal. Département de santé environnementale et de santé au travail, Université de Montréal.

<sup>2</sup> Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST), Montréal

<sup>3</sup> École d'orthophonie et d'audiologie, Université de Montréal

**Table 1**

Weight of evidence approach for the assessment of ototoxicity and interaction with noise of industrial chemicals

Weight of evidence of studies			Conclusion about ototoxicity	Conclusion about the interaction substance / noise
Human studies	Animal studies	Overall		
S	S	S	O	I
S	M	S	O	I
S	W	S	O	I
S	A	S	O	I
S	X	S	O	I
M	S	S	O	I
M	M	M	PO	PI
M	W	M	PO	PI
M	A	M	PO	PI
M	X	M	PO	PI
W	S	M	PO	PI
W	M	W	NC	NC
W	W	W	NC	NC
W	A	W	NC	NC
W	X	W	NC	NC
A	S	M	PO	PI
A	M	W	NC	NC
A	W	W	NC	NC
A	A	A	NE	NE
A	X	A	NE	NE
X	S	M	PO	PI
X	M	W	NC	NC
X	W	W	NC	NC
X	A	A	NE	NE
X	X	X	X	X

**Strength of evidence about ototoxicity or interaction substance / noise**

S = Strong, M = Medium, W = Weak, A = Absent, X = No study found

**Conclusion about ototoxicity**

O=Ototoxic substance, PO=Possibly ototoxic substance, NC=Non conclusive, NE=No evidence, X=No documentation

**Conclusion about the interaction substance / noise**

I=Evidence of interaction, PI=Possible interaction, NC=Non conclusive, NE=No evidence, X=No documentation

## Abbreviations

**TWAEV** : 8 h time weighed average exposure [limit] value in Quebec

**D-TWAEV** : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m<sup>3</sup>/d and body weight of 70 kg

**Ceiling** : Ceiling exposure [limit] value in Quebec

**D-Ceiling** : Calculated inhaled dose for pulmonary ventilation of 10 m<sup>3</sup>/d and body weight of 70 kg

**STEV** : Short term exposure [limit] value in Quebec

**C/D reported** : Reported concentration or reported dose

**CSU/DSU** : Reported concentration expressed in standard units of mg/m<sup>3</sup> or reported dose expressed in standard units of mg/kg/d

**Ratio** : For concentrations CSU/TWAEV or CSU/Ceiling and for doses DSU/ D-TWAEV or DSU/D-Ceiling

**ASM** : Air sampling method

**BM** : Biological monitoring results

**NSM**: Noise sampling method

**NL**: Noise levels

**SPL** : Sound pressure level

## Mercury, Alkyl compounds (as Hg)

Quebec's Occupational exposure limits: TWAEV: 0,01 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. STEV: 0,03 mg/m<sup>3</sup>

Conclusion about ototoxicity <b>Non conclusive</b>	Strength of evidence From human studies: <b>Weak</b> From animal studies: <b>No study found</b> Overall: <b>Weak</b>
Conclusion about interaction with noise <b>No documentation</b>	Strength of evidence From human studies: <b>No study found</b> From animal studies: <b>No study found</b> Overall: <b>No study found</b>

### Ototoxicity - Analysis of human studies

Two studies on Japan inhabitants were identified. Ototoxic effect of organic mercury was reported using pure tone audiometry and Békésy's audiometry. However, the levels of exposure were not reported and age was not taken in consideration.

### Ototoxicity - Analysis of animal studies

No study was identified.

### Interaction with noise - Analysis of human studies

No study was identified.

### Interaction with noise - Analysis of animal studies

No study was identified.

## Discussion

Ototoxic effect of organic mercury was reported in two studies in Japan inhabitants. However, the levels of exposure were not reported and age was not taken in consideration. No animal study was identified. In the absence of other studies, it is not possible to draw any conclusion regarding the ototoxicity of alkyl mercury compounds. No human or animal study on ototoxic interaction between alkyl mercury compounds and noise was identified.

**Organic mercury compounds**

**Mercury, Alkyl compounds (as Hg)**  
 • TWAEV : 0,01 mg/m<sup>3</sup> D-TWAEV : 0,00143 mg/kg/d

**Population**

Species : Human  
 Age : 7-74

# : 34-144

Sex : Males and females

**Exposure**

Route : Oral  
 Duration : NR  
 C/D reported : NR  
 CSU/DSU :  
 Ratio :  
 ASM : NR  
 BM :  
 NSM :  
 NL : NR

Remarks : Intoxication of habitants in Japon – Minamata disease. Exposure concentration non reported

**Tests****Test type**

• Effects reported

## Details on test

• Remarks

**Pure tone audiometry**

• Hearing loss (10-90 dB)

at 0.5, 1 and 2 kHz

• Age was not taken in consideration

**Bekesy test**

• 9 cases from 34 subjects evaluated had retrocochlear lesion (type III pattern of Jerger's classification)

Site of lesion

• Reflex adaptation

**Mechanism of action****Authors' conclusion**

Ototoxic effect of organic mercury in human caused by cochlear lesions

**Our conclusion**

Ototoxic effect of organic mercury was reported in human, but the exposure to mercury was not reported and age was not taken in consideration for pure tone audiometry

**Organic mercury compounds****Mercury, Alkyl compounds (as Hg)**

• TWAEV : 0,01 mg/m<sup>3</sup> D-TWAEV : 0,00143 mg/kg/d

**Population**

Species : Human

# : 17 M + 18 W

Sex : Males and females

Age : 20 - 74 years

**Exposure**

Route : Oral

Duration : NR

C/D reported : NR

CSU/DSU :

Ratio :

ASM : NR

BM :

NSM :

NL : NR

Remarks : Intoxication of habitants in Japon – Minamata disease recognized during 1968-78. Exposure concentration not reported

**Tests**

Test performed during 1986-1987

**Test type**

• Effects reported

**Details on test**

• Remarks

**Pure tone audiometry**

at 0.5, 1 and 2 kHz

• 16 out of 58 ears showed deterioration of hearing (28%) while 4 ears showed improvement (7%)

• No control for age

**Mechanism of action****Authors' conclusion**

Ototoxic effect of organic mercury in human

**Our conclusion**

Ototoxic effect of organic mercury cannot be evaluated as the age was not taken into consideration

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Mizukoshi 1975** Mizukoshi, K., et al. (1975) Neurotological studies upon intoxication by organic mercury compounds. *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec.* 37(2): 74-87.
- Mizukoshi 1989** Mizukoshi, K., et al. (1989) Neurotological follow-up studies upon Minamata disease. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl.* 468: 353-7.